

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIT	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,329	04/	15/2002	Clifford Bruce Pollitt	6385 2371	
7	7590 11/03/2005			EXAMINER	
Arlene J. Pow	ers		YOON, TAE H		
Gauthier & Co 225 Franklin S)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 3300			1714		
Boston, MA	02110		DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	(1000)		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/914,329	POLLITT, CLIFFORD BRUCE		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Tae H. Yoon	1714		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAYS (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ag</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1,2,5-9 and 11-13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,5-9 and 11-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1714

Note new examiner.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pollitt et al (WO 98/21159) in view of evidence (product brochures) submitted by applicant on June 1, 2004.

Rejection is maintained with following response.

More than a half of silica sand disclosed in said product brochures meets the instant limitation of aluminum oxide and ferrous oxide. Thus, the use of such silica sand would be a *prima facie* obviousness. Applicant further submits product brochures showing various sands, a majority being outside scope of the invention. However, close to a half of silica sand in all of product brochures submitted by applicant falls within the scope of the claim.

Applicant asserts that the instant silica sand shortened setting time and improved overall strength of the product. However, there is no evidence supporting applicant's position, and the statement alone has no probative value.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,329

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 1, 2, 5-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pollitt (GB 2,322,630) in view of evidence (product brochures) submitted by applicant on June 1, 2004.

Rejection is maintained with following response.

More than a half of silica sand disclosed in said product brochures meets the instant limitation of aluminum oxide and ferrous oxide. Thus, the use of such silica sand would be a *prima facie* obviousness. Applicant further submits product brochures showing various sands, a majority being outside scope of the invention. However, close to a half of silica sand in all of product brochures submitted by applicant falls within the scope of the claim.

Applicant asserts that the instant silica sand shortened setting time and improved overall strength of the product. However, there is no evidence supporting applicant's position, and the statement alone has no probative value.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/914,329 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

THY/October 28, 2005